not vested in the panchayats the authority in charge of the maintenance of the water source will continue to deal with the fishery rights and appropriate the income derived therefrom.

(2) Where, however, the fishery rights are enjoyed by private persons either on the basis of a fishery patta or as a customary right, the panchayats will have no rights to the fishery.

(*b*) No, Sir.

(*c*) Orders have been issued delegating to the Collectors' powers under Section 83 of the Madras Panchayats Act, 1958. The Collectors shall issue orders vesting communal property in the panchayats after due enquiry.

SRI R. SRINIVASA IYER: At the time of inaugurating the panchayat unions, lists of poramboke lands or communal properties consisting of only pathways and burial grounds were handed over to the presidents of panchayat union councils or the respective panchayats. The fishery rights in non-irrigation sources, as the Hon. Minister has now pointed out, are not vested in the panchayats and in all those cases, the Government are auctioning it for a smaller amount and then transferring it to the panchayats. So, will the Government issue orders that in cases where the ponds or tanks are vested in the panchayats, they themselves can lease the fishery rights and enjoy the benefits therefrom, as it is an important item of revenue for the panchayat?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: Whatever properties which could obviously vest in the panchayats, have already been vested in the panchayats. With regard to other things, as I have already pointed out in my answer to clause (*c*) of the main question, under section 83 of the Madras Panchayats Act, 1958, Collectors have been given the power to find out the nature of the property and then pass orders vesting the communal property in the panchayat. I am sure Collectors would be taking action in the matter. If the hon. Member has got any particular case, he may bring it to the notice of the Collector.

*Post-graduate courses*

* 1383 *Q.*—SRI K. VINAYAKAM (on behalf of SRI A. VEDARATHNAM): கனம் நிதி அமைச்சர் தயவு செய்து கீழ்க்கண்ட கேள்விகளுக்குப் பதிலளிப்பாரா—

(அ) 1960-ல் எந்தெந்த கல்லூரிகளில் எந்தெந்தப் பாடங்களில் போஸ்ட் கிராஜுவேட் பட்டப் படிப்பு நடைபெற்று வருகிறது ?

(இ) இன்னும் எந்தெந்தக் கல்லூரிகளில் என்னென்ன பாடங்களுக்கு அப்பட்டப் படிப்பைத் துவக்க உத்தேசிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது ? எப்போது ?

(உ) இம்மாநிலத்தில் என்னென்ன பாடத்திட்டங்களில் போஸ்ட் கிராஜுவேட் பட்டப் படிப்பு இல்லாமல் தேவைப்படுகிறது ?

(எ) அவற்றை நிவர்த்திக்க என்ன முயற்சி எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்டிருக்கிறது ?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: (அ) A statement [a] is laid on the table of the House.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix IV on pages 840–841 infra.

24th August 1961]

(இ) & (உ) There are no proposals now for extending the facilities.

(எ) Does not arise.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM: Would the Government examine the possibility of introducing post-graduate course in Legal Studies like Master of Law in the Law College?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: I think there is a proposal pending but I am not quite aware of it. If the hon. Member is interested, he may put a separate question, when it may be possible to give some more details.

* 1385 *Q.*—SRI S. M. ANNAMALAI: நான் உப கேள்விகள் கேட்க உரிமை இல்லாதபோது, இந்தக் கேள்வியைப் போடவில்லை.

DEPUTY SPEAKER: I am not going to allow the hon. Member to put any more questions.

*Inamdars (compensation).*

* 1386 *Q.*—SRI K. VINAYAKAM (On behalf of SRI A. R. MARIMUTHU): கனம் ரெவின்யூ அமைச்சர் தயவுசெய்து கீழ்க்கண்ட கேள்விகளுக்குப் பதிலளிப்பாரா—

(அ) சர்க்காரால் எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்பட்ட ஜமீன் இனாம் நிலங்களுக்குக் கட்டப்பட்ட நஷ்டஈட்டுத் தொகை குறிப்பிட்ட காலத்திற்குப் பிறகு தான் நோட்டீஸ் கிடைத்த காரணத்தினால் அநேக சிறு இனாம்தாரர்கள், நஷ்டஈட்டுத் தொகையை பெற முடியவில்லை என்பது அரசாங்கத்துக்குத் தெரியுமா?

(இ) அதை நிவர்த்திக்க என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டது?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU: (அ) No, Sir.

(இ) Does not arise.

SRI R. SRINIVASA IYER: Because of the provision of limitation for applying for compensation to the effect that they should apply within six months of the date of publication in the gazette, which many people do not see, thousands of petitions relating to compensation were dismissed by the Estate Abolition Tribunals. The Bill for amending the section relating to application for compensation has also been published in the gazette on a motion by me. May I request the Hon. Minister to let me know whether there is any proposal to amend the section so that the compensation will not be taken away on account of limitation and kept as a civil court deposit.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU: Difficulties were expressed because the thing is published in the district gazette. We avoided that. The question of publishing it in the villages is under consideration. As per the limitation within which the application should be made, Government is contemplating to bring legislation to see that claims, if they are not made in time, are treated as civil court deposits.